



Postendodontischer Aufbau von wurzelbehandelten Zähnen mit Kompositfaserstiften

Stabil bis zur Wurzel

Ein Beitrag von Prof. Dr. Jürgen Manhart

Literaturangabe

- Nergiz, I. and P. Schmage, Wurzelstifte im Wandel der Zeit. *Endodontie Journal*, 2004. 3(1): p. 10-17.
- Büttel, L. and G. Krastl, Restauration nach Wurzelkanalbehandlung. *Aktuelle Konzepte. wissen kompakt*, 2009. 3(3): p. 33-43.
- Peroz, I., et al., Restoring endodontically treated teeth with posts and cores--a review. *Quintessence Int*, 2005. 36(9): p. 737-746.
- Edelhoff, D., et al., Aufbau endodontisch behandelter Zähne. Gemeinsame Stellungnahme der DGZMK, der DGZPW und der DGZ. *Deutsche Zahnärztliche Zeitschrift*, 2003. 58(4): p. 199.
- Dimitrouli, M., W. Geurtsen, and A.K. Lührs, Faserverstärkte Wurzelkanalstifte: Systeme und Verarbeitung - eine Übersicht. *Deutsche Zahnärztliche Zeitschrift*, 2011. 65(3): p. 175-184.
- Naumann, M., et al., Randomized controlled clinical pilot trial of titanium vs. glass fiber prefabricated posts: preliminary results after up to 3 years. *Int J Prosthodont*, 2007. 20(5): p. 499-503.
- Massa, F., C. Dias, and C.E. Blos, Resistance to fracture of mandibular premolars restored using post-and-core systems. *Quintessence Int*, 2010. 41(1): p. 49-57.
- Heinzelmann, H. and D. Sonntag, Verwendung von Faser- und Metallstiften in der postendodontischen Versorgung. *Quintessenz*, 2017. 68(4): p. 381-388.
- Kölpin, M., et al., Adhäsive postendodontische Restaurationen. *Praxisrelevante Aspekte für die Restauration endodontisch behandelter Zähne. BZB Bayerisches Zahnärzteblatt*, 2016. 53(3): p. 64-71.
- Bitter, K., M. Kölpin, and G. Sterzenbach, Defektbezogene Konzepte in der adhäsiven postendodontischen Restauration. *wissen kompakt*, 2015. 9(1): p. 43-52.
- Creugers, N.H., et al., 5-year follow-up of a prospective clinical study on various types of core restorations. *Int J Prosthodont*, 2005. 18(1): p. 34-9.
- Abdulrazzak, S.S., et al., Effect of ferrule height and glass fibre post length on fracture resistance and failure mode of endodontically treated teeth. *Aust Endod J*, 2014. 40(2): p. 81-6.
- Marchionatti, A.M.E., et al., Clinical performance and failure modes of pulpless teeth restored with posts: a systematic review. *Braz Oral Res*, 2017. 31: p. e64.
- Juloski, J., et al., Four-year Survival of Endodontically Treated Premolars Restored with Fiber Posts. *J Dent Res*, 2014. 93(7 Suppl): p. 52S-58S.
- Naumann, M., et al., „Ferrule Comes First. Post Is Second!“ Fake News and Alternative Facts? A Systematic Review. *J Endod*, 2018. 44(2): p. 212-219.
- Edelhoff, D. and H. Spiekermann, Alles über moderne Stiftsysteme. *Zahnärztliche Mitteilungen*, 2003. 93(7): p. 60-66.
- Soim, A., et al., Translucency and masking properties of two ceramic materials for heat-press technology. *J Esthet Restor Dent*, 2018.
- Skyllouriotis, A.L., H.L. Yamamoto, and D. Nathanson, Masking properties of ceramics for veneer restorations. *J Prosthet Dent*, 2017. 118(4): p. 517-523.
- Church, T.D., et al., Translucency and strength of high-translucency monolithic zirconium oxide materials. *Gen Dent*, 2017. 65(1): p. 48-52.
- Pires, L.A., et al., Effects of the type and thickness of ceramic, substrate, and cement on the optical color of a lithium disilicate ceramic. *J Prosthet Dent*, 2017. 117(1): p. 144-149.
- Brown, P.L. and N.L. Hicks, Rehabilitation of endodontically treated teeth using the radiopaque fiber post. *Compend Contin Educ Dent*, 2003. 24(4): p. 275-8, 280-2; quiz 284.
- Pitel, M.L. and N.L. Hicks, Evolving technology in endodontic posts. *Compend Contin Educ Dent*, 2003. 24(1): p. 13-20.
- Quintas, A.F., J.C. Dinato, and M.A. Bottino, Aesthetic posts and cores for metal-free restoration of endodontically treated teeth. *Pract Periodontics Aesthet Dent*, 2000. 12(9): p. 875-84; quiz 886.
- Figueiredo, F.E., P.R. Martins-Filho, and E.S.A.L. Faria, Do metal post-retained restorations result in more root fractures than fiber post-retained restorations? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Endod*, 2015. 41(3): p. 309-16.
- Christensen, G.J., Post concepts are changing. *J Am Dent Assoc*, 2004. 135(9): p. 1308-10.
- Lamichhane, A., C. Xu, and F.Q. Zhang, Dental fiber-post resin base material: a review. *J Adv Prosthodont*, 2014. 6(1): p. 60-5.
- Grandini, S., et al., Fatigue resistance and structural characteristics of fiber posts: three-point bending test and SEM evaluation. *Dent Mater*, 2005. 21(2): p. 75-82.
- Pfeiffer, P., I. Nergiz, and U. Platzer, Yield strength of zirconia and glass fiber-reinforced posts. *Journal of Dental Research*, 2002. 81(Special Issue, Abstract No. 3477): p. 428.
- Lanza, A., et al., 3D FEA of cemented steel, glass and carbon posts in a maxillary incisor. *Dent Mater*, 2005. 21(8): p. 709-15.

30. Spazzin, A.O., et al., Morphological analysis of glass, carbon and glass/carbon fiber posts and bonding to self or dual-cured resin luting agents. *J Appl Oral Sci*, 2009. 17(5): p. 476-80.
31. Dallari, A. and L. Rovatti, Six years of in vitro/in vivo experience with Compositopost. *Compend Contin Educ Dent Suppl*, 1996(20): p. 57-63.
32. Spazzin, A.O., et al., Influence of post and resin cement on stress distribution of maxillary central incisors restored with direct resin composite. *Oper Dent*, 2009. 34(2): p. 223-9.
33. Kurtz, J.S., et al., Bond strengths of tooth-colored posts, effect of sealer, dentin adhesive, and root region. *Am J Dent*, 2003. 16(Spec No): p. 31A-36A.
34. Mjor, I.A., et al., The structure of dentine in the apical region of human teeth. *Int Endod J*, 2001. 34(5): p. 346-53.
35. Dietschi, D., et al., Biomechanical considerations for the restoration of endodontically treated teeth: a systematic review of the literature, Part II (Evaluation of fatigue behavior, interfaces, and in vivo studies). *Quintessence Int*, 2008. 39(2): p. 117-29.
36. Maroulakos, G., J. He, and W.W. Nagy, The Post-endodontic Adhesive Interface: Theoretical Perspectives and Potential Flaws. *J Endod*, 2018. 44(3): p. 363-371.
37. Glazer, B., Endodontic Post Evolution: From Metal to Quartz Light-Post by R.T.D. *Oral Health*, 2002(May 2002): p. 43-45.
38. Gluskin, A.H., I. Ahmed, and D.B. Herrero, The aesthetic post and core: unifying radicular form and structure. *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent*, 2002. 14(4): p. 313-321.
39. Varlan, C., et al., Current opinions concerning the restoration of endodontically treated teeth: basic principles. *J Med Life*, 2009. 2(2): p. 165-72.
40. Monticelli, F., C. Goracci, and M. Ferrari, Micromorphology of the fiber post - resin core unit: a scanning electron microscopy evaluation. *Dental Materials*, 2004. 20: p. 176-183.
41. Goracci, C., et al., Effects of oral environment and occlusal wear on FRC-posts integrity. 2007. 2007 IADR/AADR/CADR General Session (New Orleans, Louisiana), Abstract No. 131.
42. Ferrari, M., et al., Long-term retrospective study of the clinical performance of fiber posts. *Am J Dent*, 2007. 20(5): p. 287-91.
43. Naumann, M., F. Blankenstein, and T. Dietrich, Survival of glass fibre reinforced composite post restorations after 2 years-an observational clinical study. *J Dent*, 2005. 33(4): p. 305-12.
44. Mannocci, F., M. Ferrari, and T.F. Watson, Intermittent loading of teeth restored using quartz fiber, carbon-quartz fiber, and zirconium dioxide ceramic root canal posts. *J Adhes Dent*, 1999. 1(2): p. 153-158.
45. Schmitter, M., et al., Influence of clinical baseline findings on the survival of 2 post systems: a randomized clinical trial. *Int J Prosthodont*, 2007. 20(2): p. 173-8.
46. Lindemann, M., et al., Comparison of the efficiency and effectiveness of various techniques for removal of fiber posts. *J Endod*, 2005. 31(7): p. 520-2.
47. Sakal, S., Carbon-fiber post removal technique. *Compend Contin Educ Dent Suppl*, 1996(20): p. S86.
48. de Rijk, W.G., Removal of fiber posts from endodontically treated teeth. *Am J Dent*, 2000. 13(Spec No): p. 19B-21B.
49. Gesi, A., et al., Comparison of two techniques for removing fiber posts. *J Endod*, 2003. 29(9): p. 580-2.
50. Glazer, B., Restoration of endodontically treated teeth with carbon fibre posts—a prospective study. *J Can Dent Assoc*, 2000. 66(11): p. 613-8.
51. Scotti, N., et al., Longevity of class 2 direct restorations in root-filled teeth: A retrospective clinical study. *J Dent*, 2015. 43(5): p. 499-505.
52. Grandini, S., et al., Clinical evaluation of the use of fiber posts and direct resin restorations for endodontically treated teeth. *Int J Prosthodont*, 2005. 18(5): p. 399-404.
53. Ferrari, M., et al., Retrospective study of the clinical performance of fiber posts. *Am J Dent*, 2000. 13(Spec No): p. 9B-13B.
54. Fredriksson, M., et al., A retrospective study of 236 patients with teeth restored by carbon fiber-reinforced epoxy resin posts. *J Prosthet Dent*, 1998. 80(2): p. 151-7.
55. Mannocci, F., et al., Three-year clinical comparison of survival of endodontically treated teeth restored with either full cast coverage or with direct composite restoration. *J Prosthet Dent*, 2002. 88(3): p. 297-301.
56. de Rijk, W.G. and N. Pecora, Effect of cutting methods on fiber posts. SEM evaluation. 2007. 2007 IADR/AADR/CADR General Session (New Orleans, Louisiana), Abstract No. 2700.
57. Grandini, S., P. Balleri, and M. Ferrari, Scanning electron microscopic investigation of the surface of fiber posts after cutting. *J Endod*, 2002. 28(8): p. 610-2.
58. Dietschi, D., et al., Biomechanical considerations for the restoration of endodontically treated teeth: a systematic review of the literature--Part 1. Composition and micro- and macro-structure alterations. *Quintessence Int*, 2007. 38(9): p. 733-43.
59. Pereira, J.R., et al., Influence of intraradicular post and crown ferrule on the fracture strength of endodontically treated teeth. *Braz Dent J*, 2009. 20(4): p. 297-302.
60. Stankiewicz, N. and P. Wilson, The ferrule effect. *Dent Update*, 2008. 35(4): p. 222-4, 227-8.
61. Aykent, F., et al., Effect of dentin bonding and ferrule preparation on the fracture strength of crowned teeth restored with dowels and amalgam cores. *J Prosthet Dent*, 2006. 95(4): p. 297-301.
62. Mezzomo, E., F. Massa, and R.M. Suzuki, Fracture resistance of teeth restored with 2 different post-and-core designs fixed with 2 different luting cements: an in vitro study. Part II. *Quintessence Int*, 2006. 37(6): p. 477-84.
63. Mezzomo, E., F. Massa, and S.D. Libera, Fracture resistance of teeth restored with two different post-and-core designs cemented with two different cements: an in vitro study. Part I. *Quintessence Int*, 2003. 34(4): p. 301-6.
64. Tan, P.L., et al., In vitro fracture resistance of endodontically treated central incisors with varying ferrule heights and configurations. *J Prosthet Dent*, 2005. 93(4): p. 331-6.
65. Naumann, M., A. Preuss, and R. Frankenberger, Reinforcement effect of adhesively luted fiber reinforced composite versus titanium posts. *Dent Mater*, 2007. 23(2): p. 138-44.